

## CM Kitchen MOTION SHEET

I move to amend the Land Development Code Revision Policy Guidance Document as follows:

1) Amend Question 2. Housing Capacity, Section 1 Objective, as follows:

- f. The code revision process should provide consideration of need to upgrade infrastructure capacities in areas proposed for increased density along corridors and Imagine Austin Centers. Additional considerations and means may be needed in older areas that may not currently have adequate infrastructure in place, such as sidewalks, stormwater management, water pressure supply, and sewage line capacity.
- g. To greatest extent possible, include code restrictions that provide properties zoned for multi-family will develop with multi-family and not take the form of single- family structures. At the same time, however, make allowances for existing single-family structures that become non-conforming to be maintained, remodeled, and potentially expanded, so long as they are not demolished or substantially rebuilt.

2) Amend Question 2. Housing Capacity Section 2 Code Text, as follows:

- b. A city-led testing process to assess the impact of revised regulations which includes participation by design and technical professionals, including architects, landscape architects, and engineers. The testing should examine how the proposed zoning and non-zoning code provisions perform when applied to various types and scales of development. It should provide accurate and careful modeling of corridor and transition area regulations so that Council and community discussions can focus on achieving policy results and include proposed non-zoning regulations as previously directed by Council Resolution No. 20180628-125.

3) Amend Question 2. Housing Capacity, Section 3 Zoning Map, as follows:

- d. When mapping the 30% missing middle housing goal, the existing missing middle housing in transition areas should be part of the accounting of the areas' contribution to this goal.

## CM Kitchen MOTION SHEET

4) Amend Question 3. Missing Middle Housing Types, Section 2 Zoning Map, as follows:

2. Zoning Map. The goal of providing additional missing middle housing should inform the mapping of missing middle zones consistent with the direction provided throughout this document. Staff to additionally provide options for timelines and methods for implementation of changes to zoning to achieve additional housing capacity and affordable housing goals.

5) Amend Question 4. Compatibility Standards, Section 2 Code Text, as follows:

2. Code Text. Maintain Draft 3's no-build and vegetative buffers between residential and commercial uses, as well as other compatibility triggers and standards for properties adjacent to a Residential House-Scale zone. The only exception should be that the highest density Residential House-Scale zones should not trigger compatibility onto the lowest density Residential Multifamily zones in order to create smooth transitions.

i. Include standards related to noise, uses, utility screening, side buffers, trash, loading and pick-up zones as well as shielded lighting.

ii. Include Green Infrastructure from Draft 3 and continue tree preservation policy as well as increasing tree canopy along corridors and centers to enhance walkability and curb heat island effect.

6) Amend Question 4. Compatibility Standards, Section 3 Zoning Map, as follows:

f. The depth and scale of transition zones should be reduced so that the transition zone(s) do not encompass a disproportionate share of the housing needed to meet missing middle goals and overlap with the majority of the existing single-family neighborhood area.

h. Lot(s) adjacent to parcels fronting an activity corridor will be mapped with a zone ~~(RM1 and above)~~ that does not trigger compatibility and that could provide a step-down in scale from the zone of the parcel fronting an activity corridor. For a shallow lot on a corridor, consideration will be given to maintaining the zoning of the corridor-fronting lot to the adjoining rear lot, if appropriate. (strike?)

j. ~~R4 should be the least intense zone within a transition area.~~

## CM Kitchen MOTION SHEET

k. Staff will provide a projection of how much missing middle housing capacity the mapping of transition areas consistent with these guidelines will provide, and how effectively the map enables us to achieve ASHB and ASMP goals. The projection should include an accounting of existing missing middle housing to inform the overall numbers of missing middle housing provided in different areas around the city.

7) Amend Addition 2. Affordable Housing, Section 2. Code Text, as follows:

- a. Increase Supply of Multi-Bedroom Housing for Families with Children: Ensure the code is providing an adequate supply of multi-bedroom housing throughout the community, creating diverse housing types and unit mix to meet the goal of 25% of affordable housing units that are created or preserved having two or more bedrooms. Set minimum bedroom requirements that encourage dwellings for families with children and multi-generational households. Propose mechanisms to prioritize areas close to schools. *Housing Blueprint, p. 21.*
- d. Bring Options for Implementing a Density Bonus Program for Missing Middle: Provide options for a density bonus program at the edges of centers and corridors or on collector streets. In this type of bonus program, the “density” could take the form of units (rather than height or bulk), allowing more units within the same size building. *Housing Blueprint, p. 32.*
- i. Utilize Planned Unit Developments (PUDs) to Provide a Range of Affordability: Provide options to leverage or require PUD zoning to produce more affordable housing. PUDs present a unique opportunity to provide a range of affordability through increased housing diversity and improved transportation choices. *Housing Blueprint, p. 34.*
- n. Tie and Target increased Entitlements to Affordable Housing: Provide options to prioritize and tie incentives and target mapping to achieve development of the 60,000 housing units (20,000 at 30% MFI and below/ 25,000 at 31-60% MFI/ and 15,000 61-80% MFI). These categories of affordability are the most challenging for the market to address. Focus on centers and corridors targeted for growth under Imagine Austin.